# **ORIGINAL RESEARCH**



# Assessment of pain location according to different types of bruxism

Burcu Anasız Kıranatlı<sup>1</sup>, Özer İşisağ<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Afyonkarahisar Health Sciences University, 03030 Afyonkarahisar, Turkey

\*Correspondence

ozer.isisag@afsu.edu.tr (Özer İşisağ)

#### Abstract

Background: This study aimed to assess the influence of bruxism types and frequencies on pain localisation in individuals with temporomandibular disorders (TMD). Methods: The study participants included 100 TMD patients. Participants consented to undergo clinical evaluations based on the Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders Assessment Instruments Protocol (DC/TMD). Pain was determined by palpating the temporal and masseter muscles and the temporomandibular junction (TMJ). The oral behavior checklist (OBC) was used in DC/TMD to assess participants' risk for parafunctional movements and types of bruxism. The parafunctional risk assessment was performed with the assessment method reported by The International Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (RDC/TMD) Consortium Network. **Results**: Most participants are at high risk for parafunction. There was a statistically significant relationship between masseter, temporal and TMJ pain and parafunctional movements (p < 0.05). Masseter pain on palpation showed significant relationships with sleep bruxism and awake grinding frequency (p < 0.05). Temporal muscle pain on palpation showed significant relationships with the presence and frequency of awake clenching (p < 0.05). A significant correlation exists between TMJ pain on palpation and awake grinding and clenching and with awake clenching frequence (p < 0.05). **Conclusions**: Based on their frequency and presence, different types of bruxism may be associated with different pain symptoms.

#### Keywords

Pain; Temporomandibular disorder; Bruxism; Teeth clenching; Teeth grinding

# 1. Introduction

TMDs are musculoskeletal disorders affecting the TMJ, masticatory system, and related tissues [1-4]. TMD is a multifactorial disorder, with no single culprit [5-7]. Five factors should be considered when evaluating the aetiology of TMD: occlusal conditions, trauma, emotional stress, deep pain source, and parafunctional activity [8-10].

TMD symptoms include pain and tenderness in the head and neck muscles, noise from the TMJ region, and restriction or deviation in mandibular movements. Additionally, headaches, ear pain, tinnitus, tooth wear, and mobility may be present [11– 13]. All age groups can experience TMD symptoms. TMD symptoms are more common in females than males [14–19], while prevalence is low in younger age groups.

Having experienced pain at an early age, it is subjective and derived from personal experiences. Pain perception is usually influenced by genetics, gender, and mental processes such as feelings and beliefs. Consequently, pain affects patients' quality of life in a significant way [20]. TMD can lead to painful and functional impairment of the stomatognathic system, as the temporomandibular joints and related muscles are essential for various daily activities, including eating, speaking and facial expressions [5, 21, 22]. Parafunctional movements may contribute to or exacerbate related disorders such as pain and limited mouth opening [23, 24].

Bruxism is a repetitive jaw-muscle activity characterized by teeth clenching or grinding during wakefulness or sleep [25–27]. In the last edition of the Glossary of Prosthodontic Terms, bruxism is defined as "A repetitive jaw-muscle activity characterized by clenching or grinding of the teeth and/or bracing or thrusting of the mandible; it has two distinct circadian manifestations relating to whether it occurs during sleep (sleep bruxism) or during wakefulness" [28]. There is some evidence that it is associated with TMD and causes a variety of pain symptoms and functional limitations. Inconsistencies may be due to the lack of clear definitions of different bruxism patterns (e.g., teeth clenching or grinding, nocturnal bruxism, daytime bruxism, etc.) in the studies conducted [29-32]. A meta-analysis of the global prevalence of bruxism found that the overall prevalence (both during sleep and while awake) is 22.22%. Sleep bruxism is prevalent at 21%, and awake bruxism is prevalent at 23%. According to polysomnography, 43% of people suffer from sleep bruxism [33]. It is essential for the

physician to determine the etiologic factors and differentiate the factors that contribute to the current clinical condition to treat TMD effectively [8, 34]. This study aimed to assess the influence of bruxism types on pain localization in TMD patients. Bruxism types were hypothesized not to affect pain localization in the study's null hypothesis.

## 2. Material and methods

Study participants were selected from individuals who presented to Afyonkarahisar Health Sciences University, Faculty of Dentistry, Department of Prosthodontics between 16 May 2022 and 16 June 2023 with a chief concern about jaw pain or were diagnosed with TMD. The ethical approval (Decision dated 13 May 2022 and number 2022/298) of this study was approved by the Non-Interventional Ethics Committee of Afyonkarahisar Health Sciences University. This study was conducted after obtaining written informed consent from patients according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

This study included participants aged 15–85 with symptoms of TMD (Spontaneous or palpable pain in the temporomandibular region, TMJ noise, limited mouth opening, and mandibular deviation or deflection when opening the mouth) who could read and write in Turkish. Individuals with TMD symptoms were not subdivided into TMD subgroups. Participants without consent, unable to communicate and without TMD symptoms were excluded. Keeping the age range high ensured a large sample size.

The G\*Power package (G\*Power Ver. 3.0.10, Franz Faul, University of Kiel, Kiel, SH, Germany) was used to calculate the sample size. The *t*-test family was used to compare two independent groups, with a power set at 0.90 and effect size set at 0.59, according to Cohen's *d*. For comparisons between more than 2 groups, one-way analysis of variance test from the F test family was used, with a power of 0.80, and an effect size of 0.115 according to the partial eta squared ( $\eta^2$ ) value. Fisher's exact test from the exact test family was used to evaluate the 2 × 2 crosstab analysis, with a power of 0.80, and the effect size of 3.55 according to the odds ratio (OR) value. Based on all analyses, 100 participants were selected.

One researcher evaluated and examined participants, while two analysed the data. Clinical evaluations were conducted on consenting individuals according to the DC/TMD protocol. Palpation examination was used to assess participants' pain findings. According to the DC/TMD 2013 protocol, 1 kg of pressure was applied for 5 seconds to the temporal (three sites: anterior, middle, and posterior) and masseter muscles (three sites: superior, middle and inferior) as well as the TMJ (at the lateral pole and around the lateral pole). A force meter was used to calibrate the fingers before each palpation examination. Whenever a patient reported pain beyond the palpable muscle or joint, it was classified as referred pain. Nevertheless, if the pain was limited to the muscle or joint boundaries, it was not considered referred. If the patient experienced pain, the examiner asked if it was similar to pain experienced before the visit. If so, it was classified as familiar pain.

OBC in DC/TMD was used to assess participants' risk based on their parafunctional movements and to determine the types of bruxism they suffered. Parafunctional risk was assessed

using the OBC scoring method reported by the International RDC/TMD Consortium Network. Scoring was calculated as the sum of item numbers with a non-zero response or a weighted sum (i.e., summing endorsement frequencies of the relevant items). Comparing people with chronic TMD to those without, an OBC summary score of 0-16 represents normal behavior. Scores of 17-24 occur twice as often in TMD patients, and 25-62 occur 17 times more often. Only a score in the 25-62 range is considered a risk factor for TMD. Individuals were asked to indicate bruxism during sleep as never, <1 night/month, 1-3 nights/month, 1-3 nights/week, 4-7 nights/week, as well as clenching or grinding during wakefulness as none, a little, some, most and all of the time. Data analysis was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) (Version 22, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). To compare paired means, independent sample t-tests and Mann-Whitney U tests were used. Cohen's d-effect size was calculated for statistically significant comparisons. A one-way Analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was used for comparisons involving more than two groups, and Eta-squared  $(\eta^2)$  was used to measure effect size. For  $\eta^2$ , the thresholds are: small 0.01, medium 0.06, large 0.14 [35]. Cross-table was used to determine categorical variables. A Pearson Chi-Square test was applied when the sample size assumption (expected value >5) was met, and a Fisher's Exact test was applied when it was not. The effect size was evaluated with OR. For OR, the thresholds are: small 1.44, medium 2.48, large 4.27 [36]. p < p0.05 indicates statistically significant differences.

# 3. Results

Table 1 shows the risk status of individuals for parafunction and bruxism types distribution according to the OBC inventory. The majority of participants were at high risk of parafunction. Table 2 shows the relationship between OBC scores and palpation pain in masseter, temporal muscles, and TMJ. Individuals with palpation pain in temporal and masseter muscles and TMJ had higher OBC scores. There were statistically significant relationships between OBC scores and temporal pain at a medium effect size (p = 0.002, Cohen's d =0.702), masseter pain at a high effect size (p = 0.001, Cohen's d = 0.869) and TMJ pain at a medium effect size (p = 0.001, Cohen's d = 0.703).

#### 3.1 Sleep bruxism

Sleep bruxism frequency and palpation pain distribution among participants were analysed by cross-table (Table 3). Pearson's chi-square and Fisher's exact tests showed no statistically significant relationships between sleep bruxism frequency and temporal pain (p = 0.434), masseter pain (p = 0.129) and TMJ pain (p = 0.240). The distribution and cross-table between sleep bruxism and palpation pain are shown in Table 4. In contrast to sleep bruxism frequency, a statistically significant relationship was found between masseter pain and bruxism at a high effect size (p = 0.020, odds ratio (OR) = 5.429).

TABLE 1. The risk status of individuals according to
the OBC Inventory and the distribution of bruxism types.

OBC inventory	Percentage %
Risk status	
No risk	1
Low risk	34
High risk	65
Bruxism types	Frequency
Sleep bruxism	
None of the time	10
<1 Night/Month	17
1–3 Nights/Month	17
1–3 Nights/Week	17
4–7 Nights/Week	39
Awake grinding	
None of the time	44
A little of the time	19
Some of the time	27
Most of the time	8
All of the time	2
Awake clenching	
None of the time	26
A little of the time	13
Some of the time	31
Most of the time	20
All of the time	10
OPC: and behaviour checklist	

OBC: oral behaviour checklist.

#### 3.2 Awake grinding

Awake grinding frequency and palpation pain distribution were analysed by cross-table (Table 5). Fisher's exact tests found a statistically significant relationship between teeth grinding frequency and masseter pain (p = 0.023). According to one-way ANOVA test, which was performed to evaluate the effect size in addition to statistical significance, a statistically significant relationship was found between teeth grinding frequency and masseter pain at medium effect size (p = 0.041,  $\eta^2 = 0.098$ ) (Table 6). The distribution and cross-table analysis between grinding and palpation pain are shown in Table 4. In contrast to grinding frequency, TMJ pain (p = 0.032, OR = 2.500) showed a statistically significant relationship with grinding at medium effect size.

#### 3.3 Awake clenching

Participants' palpation pain distribution and clenching frequency were analysed using a cross-table (Table 7). According to Pearson's chi-square and Fisher's exact tests, there was a statistically significant relationship between clenching frequency and temporal muscle pain (p = 0.022) and temporomandibular joint pain (p = 0.002). One-way ANOVA test found that clenching frequency was significantly related to temporal pain with a medium effect size (p = 0.020,  $\eta^2 = 0.114$ ) and TMJ pain with a large effect size (p = 0.002,  $\eta^2 = 0.165$ ) (Table 6). Table 4 shows the distribution and cross-table analysis of clenching and palpation pain. It was also found that clenching was significantly correlated with temporal muscle pain at medium effect size (p = 0.017, OR = 3.333). Conversely, there was a significant relationship between temporomandibular joint pain at large effect size (p = 0.001, OR = 0.001, OR = 4.978).

#### 4. Discussion

This study aimed to investigate and evaluate the influence of bruxism types on pain localization in TMD patients. It was determined that the pain location varied depending upon the specific type of bruxism observed, thus rejecting the null hypothesis.

A major challenge in clinical trials related to TMD is defining aetiological factors, which makes standardizing participants difficult. In this context, a simple, understandable and reliable inventory is crucial to ensuring the reliability of the studies and evaluating and comparing the results. DC/TMD, developed by the International Network for the Methodology of Orofacial Pain and Related Disorders (INFORM) is used for this purpose [37-41]. DC/TMD inventory was used in this study to assess participants. Clenching or grinding teeth while sleeping or awake, nail-biting, chewing gum, and other parafunctional habits can cause stress to the mandible [42, 43]. TMD can be caused by parafunctional habits and muscle tension [44-46]. Chow and Cioffi [47] reported that excessive masticatory muscle overload may cause pain symptoms if the masticatory muscles are used to clench or grind teeth, play with the tongue, cheeks, and lips, or hold or bite objects like hair, pipes, pens, or fingers between their teeth. Bruxist patients have also complained of muscle tension, stiffness, and pain in the face, ear, frontotemporal region [48]. Chen et al. [49] reported that patients with myofascial pain in the jaw, temples, face, preauricular area, or ear at rest or during function had 4 times more nonfunctional dental contact and stress levels when awake. This study examined a group of TMD patients. Most participants exhibited a high tendency to engage in parafunctional oral habits, and a significant relationship was found between OBC scores and palpation pain. Accordingly, parafunctional habits may be influential in the onset and progression of TMD.

Distinct associations were found between different bruxism patterns and palpation pain in specific anatomical regions. Individuals with a habit of awake grinding were found to experience pain in the masseter muscle and TMJ upon palpation. Conversely, individuals with awake clenching showed palpation pain in the temporal muscle and TMJ. The observed correlations are supported by several studies in the literature. Researchers found that teeth grinding accounts for approximately 60% of the muscle activity of the masseter muscle when examining the impact of various types of bruxism. Additionally, teeth clenching accounted for 37% of muscle activity [50]. An electromyographic (EMG) measurement was also performed in another study to assess muscle activity during swallowing and maximum clenching. EMG activity of the

1 MJ.								
n		Mean	SD	Median	Test Statistic	р	Cohen's d	
Temporal Pai	in							
Yes	43	33.07	13.26	34.00	-3.114	0.002*	0.702	
No	57	25.54	10.89	27.00	5.114		0.702	
Masseter Pai	n							
Yes	81	30.67	12.39	31.00	402.000	0.001*	0.869	
No	19	20.74	9.48	20.00	402.000		0.009	
TMJ Pain								
Yes	66	31.62	12.47	33.50	-3.330	0.001*	0.703	
No	34	23.26	10.64	22.50	5.550	0.001	0.705	

TABLE 2. The relationship between parafunctional movements and pain in the masseter and temporal muscles and TMJ

\*p < 0.05. TMJ: temporomandibular junction; SD: standard deviation.

#### TABLE 3. Cross-table analyses for the frequency of sleep bruxism and the presence of pain.

Pain Presence	None of the time n (%)	<1 Night/ Month n (%)	1–3 Nights/ Month n (%)	1–3 Nights/ Week n (%)	4–7 Nights/ Week n (%)	Test Statistic	р
Temporal Pain							
Yes	2 (20.0)	9 (52.9)	7 (41.2)	6 (35.3)	19 (48.7)	3.799	0.434
No	8 (80.0)	8 (47.1)	10 (58.8)	11 (64.7)	20 (51.3)	5.133	0.434
Masseter Pain							
Yes	5 (50.0)	13 (76.5)	14 (82.4)	15 (88.2)	34 (87.2)	6.933	0.129
No	5 (50.0)	4 (23.5)	3 (17.6)	2 (11.8)	5 (12.8)	0.955	0.129
TMJ Pain							
Yes	4 (40.0)	11 (64.7)	11 (64.7)	10 (58.8)	30 (76.9)	5.502	0.240
No	6 (60.0)	6 (35.3)	6 (35.3)	7 (41.2)	9 (23.1)	5.502	0.240

TMJ: temporomandibular junction.

#### TABLE 4. Analysing the presence of bruxism and pain regions with cross-table analysis.

	<i>,</i> 8 1		1 8		J		
Bruxism presence	Temporal Pain		Masset	Masseter Pain		TMJ Pain	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Sleep bruxism							
Yes	41	49	76	14	62	28	
No	2	8	5	5	4	6	
	<i>p</i> =	0.181	$p = 0.020^*$ , OR = 5.429		p = 0.085		
Awake grinding							
Yes	27	29	49	7	42	14	
No	16	28	32	12	24	20	
	p = 0	0.235	p = 0.062		$p = 0.032^*$ , OR = 2.500		
Awake clenching							
Yes	37	37	60	14	56	18	
No	6	20	21	5	10	16	
	$p = 0.017^*$ , OR = 3.333		p = 1	.000	<i>p</i> = 0.001*,	OR = 4.978	

\*p < 0.05. TMJ: temporomandibular junction; OR: odds ratio.

ТА	TABLE 5. Cross-table analyses for the frequency of awake grinding and the presence of pain.								
Pain Presence	None of the time n (%)	A little of the time n (%)	Some of the time n (%)	Most of the time n (%)	All of the time n (%)	Test Statistic	р		
Temporal Pain									
Yes	16 (36.4)	6 (31.6)	14 (51.9)	6 (75.0)	1 (50.0)	6.095	0.162		
No	28 (63.6)	13 (68.4)	13 (48.1)	2 (25.0)	1 (50.0)	0.095	0.102		
Masseter Pain									
Yes	32 (72.7)	14 (73.7)	26 (96.3)	8 (100.0)	1 (50.0)	10.362	0.023*		
No	12 (27.3)	5 (26.3)	1 (3.7)	0 (0.0)	1 (50.0)	10.302	0.025		
TMJ Pain									
Yes	24 (54.5)	12 (63.2)	22 (81.5)	7 (87.5)	1 (50.0)	7.495	0.089		
No	20 (45.5)	7 (36.8)	5 (18.5)	1 (12.5)	1 (50.0)	7.475	0.007		

\*p < 0.05. TMJ: temporomandibular junction.

#### TABLE 6. Evaluation of the relationships between bruxism frequencies and pain types according to one-way ANOVA

test.						
Pain regions and type of bruxism $p, \eta^2$						
Temporal Pain						
	Sleep bruxism	0.446				
	Awake grinding	0.200				
	Awake clenching	$0.020^*, \eta^2 = 0.114$				
Masseter Pain						
	Sleep bruxism	0.090				
	Awake grinding	$0.041^*, \eta^2 = 0.098$				
	Awake clenching	0.351				
TMJ Pain						
	Sleep bruxism	0.246				
	Awake grinding	0.117				
	Awake clenching	$0.002^*, \eta^2 = 0.165$				

\*p < 0.05. TMJ: temporomandibular junction.

#### TABLE 7. Cross-table analyses for the frequency of awake clenching and the presence of pain.

Pain Presence	None of the time n (%)	A little of the time n (%)	Some of the time n (%)	Most of the time n (%)	All of the time n (%)	Test Statistic	р
Temporal Pain							
Yes	6 (23.1)	5 (38.5)	12 (38.7)	13 (65.0)	7 (70.0)	11.476	0.022*
No	20 (76.9)	8 (61.5)	19 (61.3)	7 (35.0)	3 (30.0)	11.470	0.022
Masseter Pain							
Yes	21 (80.8)	9 (69.2)	25 (80.6)	19 (95.0)	7 (70.0)	4.930	0.274
No	5 (19.2)	4 (30.8)	6 (19.4)	1 (5.0)	3 (30.0)	4.950	0.274
TMJ Pain							
Yes	10 (38.5)	7 (53.8)	23 (74.2)	18 (90.0)	8 (80.0)	16.577	0.002*
No	16 (61.5)	6 (46.2)	8 (25.8)	2 (10.0)	2 (20.0)	10.377	0.002

\*p < 0.05. TMJ: temporomandibular junction.

temporal muscle was better than that of the masseter muscle during these activities [51]. Bruxism patterns differed with respect to pain perception when palpated at different anatomical locations in the study. It may shed light on the mechanisms behind bruxism by increasing activity in specific muscle groups. Research and treatment approaches for bruxism could benefit from these implications. Studies have pointed out the challenge of detecting parafunctional movements in sleep, often because the individual is unaware of this disorder [52, 53]. The relationship between nocturnal bruxism and TMD has been a subject of ongoing debate. A study has proposed the use of standardized tools in nocturnal bruxism evaluation. It may be possible to resolve this debate by using these tools and to provide a more accurate assessment of the relationship between nocturnal bruxism and TMD [54]. The American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) proposed widely accepted criteria for diagnosing sleep bruxism. The International Classification of Sleep Disorders (ICSD) 2014 update includes regular toothgrinding sounds during sleep and one or more clinical signs and symptoms. Among them are abnormal tooth wear, morning jaw muscle pain, fatigue, temporal headaches, or jaw locking upon awakening, with reports of tooth grinding during sleep [55]. Bruxism assessment involves patient-reported symptoms, clinical examinations findings, and measurements such as EMG and polysomnography. By integrating these diverse sources of information, healthcare professionals can determine bruxism's presence and nature [56]. In this study, although significant relationships were expected between sleep bruxism and all palpation pain (temporal, masseter and TMJ), only sleep bruxism and masseter pain were found to be significant. This may be because sleep bruxism was detected via subjective knowledge, different diagnostic techniques were not used, and no sleep bruxism was detected. Several limitations should be considered in this study. The sample size of the study was relatively small, which may impact its generalizability. The exclusion criteria also excluded factors such as medication use that exacerbates bruxism, trauma history, musculoskeletal disorders, and sleep apnoea. The diagnosis of bruxism was also based solely on subjective information provided by participants, without the use of additional diagnostic techniques such as polysomnography. Moreover, the results may be affected by the participants' co-occurrence of awake and sleep bruxism. It is essential to acknowledge these limitations when interpreting study results.

# 5. Conclusions

Within the limitations of this study, it can be concluded that:

1. Parafunctional oral habits are significantly related to palpation pain.

2. There is a significant relationship between the presence of awake grinding and TMJ pain, and between frequency and masseter pain.

3. The presence and frequency of awake clenching showed significant relationships with temporal muscle pain and TMJ pain on palpation.

4. Although there was no significant relationship between the frequency of sleep bruxism and the pain on palpation examined in the study, the presence of sleep bruxism was significantly associated with masseter pain on palpation. However, the examination for sleep bruxism in this study was limited, which may lead to bias.

5. Different bruxism types may be associated with pain symptoms in different areas, depending on their frequency and presence.

#### AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data presented in this study are available on reasonable request from the corresponding author.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

BAK—conceptualization, acquisition, statistical analysis and interpretation of data; drafting and revising; literature search, final approval; accountable for accuracy or integrity, creation of tables. Öİ—conceptualization, acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data; drafting and revising; literature search, final approval; accountable for accuracy or integrity.

# ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

This study was derived from the thesis entitled "Evaluation of The Effects of The Etiological Factors Causing Temporomandibular Disorders on The Symptoms Seen in Individuals", which was presented on 04 September 2023 at the Afyonkarahisar Health Sciences University, Faculty of Dentistry, Department of Prosthodontics within the Dentistry speciality thesis. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from Afyonkarahisar Health Sciences University Clinical Research Ethics Committee (Decision dated 13 May 2022 and number 2022/298). Participants under the age of 16 were informed consent from their parents or guardians.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank all the participants in this study.

#### FUNDING

This research received no external funding.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

# REFERENCES

- [1] Minervini G, Marrapodi MM, Tirupathi S, Afnan L, Ronsivalle V, Cervino G, et al. Prevalence of temporomandibular disorders (TMD) in bleeding disorders: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation. 2023; 50: 1535–1543.
- [2] Vale Braido GVD, Svensson P, Dos Santos Proença J, Mercante FG, Fernandes G, de Godoi Gonçalves DA. Are central sensitization symptoms and psychosocial alterations interfering in the association

between painful TMD, migraine, and headache attributed to TMD? Clinical Oral Investigations. 2023; 27: 681–690.

- [3] Sandoval-Munoz CP, Haidar ZS. Neuro-Muscular Dentistry: the "diamond" concept of electro-stimulation potential for stomato-gnathic and oro-dental conditions. Head & Face Medicine. 2021; 17: 2.
- [4] Bizzarri P, Manfredini D, Koutris M, Bartolini M, Buzzatti L, Bagnoli C, et al. Temporomandibular disorders in migraine and tension-type headache patients: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Journal of Oral & Facial Pain and Headache. 2024; 38: 11–24.
- [5] González-Sánchez B, García Monterey P, Ramírez-Durán MDV, Garrido-Ardila EM, Rodríguez-Mansilla J, Jiménez-Palomares M. Temporomandibular joint dysfunctions: a systematic review of treatment approaches. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2023; 12: 4156.
- [6] Gębska M, Dalewski B, Pałka Ł, Kiczmer P, Kołodziej Ł. Effect of physiotherapeutic procedures on the bioelectric activity of the masseter muscle and the range of motion of the temporomandibular joints in the female population with chronic pain: a randomized controlled trial. BMC Oral Health. 2023; 23: 927.
- [7] Alam MK, Zaman MU, Alqhtani NR, Alqahtani AS, Alqahtani F, Cicciù M, *et al.* Salivary biomarkers and temporomandibular disorders: a systematic review conducted according to PRISMA guidelines and the Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation. 2024; 51: 416–426.
- [8] Okeson JP. Management of temporomandibular disorders and occlusion. 8th edn. Elsevier: St. Louis. 2019.
- <sup>[9]</sup> Warzocha J, Gadomska-Krasny J, Mrowiec J. Etiologic factors of temporomandibular disorders: a systematic review of literature containing diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders (DC/TMD) and research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders (RDC/TMD) from 2018 to 2022. Healthcare. 2024; 12: 575.
- [10] Saccomanno S, Saran S, Parpagliolo L, Tasquier F, Giannotta N, Kozokic J, *et al.* Temporomandibular disorders: the most common diagnostic approaches. Minerva Dental and Oral Science. 2024; 73: 142–148.
- [11] Poveda Roda R, Bagan JV, Díaz Fernández JM, Hernández Bazán S, Jiménez Soriano Y. Review of temporomandibular joint pathology. Part I: classification, epidemiology and risk factors. Medicina Oral, Patología Oral y Cirugía BucalA. 2007; 12: E292–E298.
- [12] Sadrzadeh-Afshar M, Salari B, shobeiri A, HafeziMotlagh K. Investigating the effect of obsessive-compulsive disorder on clinical symptoms of temporomandibular joint disorders. Clinical and Experimental Dental Research. 2023; 9: 1191–1199.
- [13] Šimunović L, Lapter Varga M, Negovetić Vranić D, Čuković-Bagić I, Bergman L, Meštrović S. The role of malocclusion and oral parafunctions in predicting signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders—a cross-sectional study. Dentistry Journal. 2024; 12: 213.
- [14] Osterberg T, Carlsson GE, Wedel A, Johansson U. A cross-sectional and longitudinal study of craniomandibular dysfunction in an elderly population. Journal of Craniomandibular Disorders. 1992; 6: 237–245.
- [15] Dahlstrm L, Carlsson GE. Temporomandibular disorders and oral health-related quality of life. A systematic review. Acta Odontologica Scandinavica. 2010; 68: 80–85.
- [16] Hiltunen K, Schmidt-Kaunisaho K, Nevalainen J, Närhi T, Ainamo A. Prevalence of signs of temporomandibular disorders among elderly inhabitants of Helsinki, Finland. Acta Odontologica Scandinavica. 1995; 53: 20–23.
- <sup>[17]</sup> Dibello V, Panza F, Mori G, Ballini A, Di Cosola M, Lozupone M, et al. Temporomandibular disorders as a risk factor for suicidal behavior: a systematic review. Journal of Personalized Medicine. 2022; 12: 1782.
- [18] Yan ZB, Wan YD, Xiao CQ, Li YQ, Zhang YY, An Y, et al. Craniofacial morphology of orthodontic patients with and without temporomandibular disorders: a cross-sectional study. Pain Research and Management. 2022; 2022: 9344028.
- [19] Carapinha I, De la Torre Canales G, Poluha R, Câmara-Souza M, Christidis N, Ernberg M, *et al.* Sociodemographic profile: a forgotten factor in temporomandibular disorders? A scoping review. Journal of Pain Research. 2024; 17: 393–414.
- [20] Michaelides A, Zis P. Depression, anxiety and acute pain: links and management challenges. Postgraduate Medicine. 2019; 131: 438–444.
- [21] Wieckiewicz M, Boening K, Wiland P, Shiau YY, Paradowska-Stolarz A. Reported concepts for the treatment modalities and pain management of

temporomandibular disorders. Journal of Headache and Pain. 2015; 16: 106.

- [22] Najafi S, Hashemi-Gorji F, Roudgari H, Goudarzi M, Jafarzadegan AM, Sheykhbahaei N. Genetic change investigation in DOCK1 gene in an Iranian family with sign and symptoms of temporomandibular joint disorder (TMD). Clinical Oral Investigations. 2024; 28: 432.
- [23] Carlsson GE, Egermark I, Magnusson T. Predictors of signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders: a 20-year follow-up study from childhood to adulthood. Acta Odontologica Scandinavica. 2002; 60: 180–185.
- [24] Greene CS. Etiology of temporomandibular disorders. Seminars in Orthodontics. 1995; 1: 222–228.
- [25] Matusz K, Maciejewska-Szaniec Z, Gredes T, Pobudek-Radzikowska M, Glapiński M, Górna N, *et al.* Common therapeutic approaches in sleep and awake bruxism—an overview. Polish Journal of Neurology and Neurosurgery. 2022; 56: 455–463.
- <sup>[26]</sup> Cid-Verdejo R, Chávez Farías C, Martínez-Pozas O, Meléndez Oliva E, Cuenca-Zaldívar JN, Ardizone García I, *et al.* Instrumental assessment of sleep bruxism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sleep Medicine Reviews. 2024; 74: 101906.
- [27] Almutairi AF, Albesher N, Aljohani M, Alsinanni M, Turkistani O, Salam M. Association of oral parafunctional habits with anxiety and the big-five personality traits in the Saudi adult population. The Saudi Dental Journal. 2021; 33: 90–98.
- [28] The glossary of prosthodontic terms 2023. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 2023; 130: e1–e3.
- <sup>[29]</sup> Mengatto CM, Coelhode-Souza FH, De Souza OB. Sleep bruxism: challenges and restorative solutions. Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dentistry. 2016; 8: 71–77.
- [30] Bergmann A, Edelhoff D, Schubert O, Erdelt KJ, Pho Duc JM. Effect of treatment with a full-occlusion biofeedback splint on sleep bruxism and TMD pain: a randomized controlled clinical trial. Clinical Oral Investigations. 2020; 24: 4005–4018.
- [31] Glaros AG, Williams K. Tooth contact versus clenching: oral parafunctions and facial pain. Journal of Orofacial Pain. 2012; 26: 176–180.
- [32] Sambale J, Koehler U, Conradt R, Kesper K, Cassel W, Degerli M, et al. Is sleep bruxism in obstructive sleep apnea only an oral health related problem? BMC Oral Health. 2024; 24: 565.
- <sup>[33]</sup> Zieliński G, Pająk A, Wójcicki M. Global prevalence of sleep bruxism and awake bruxism in pediatric and adult populations: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2024; 13: 4259.
- [34] Okeson JP. Joint intracapsular disorders: diagnostic and nonsurgical management considerations. Dental Clinics of North America. 2007; 51: 85–103.
- [35] Watson P. Rules of thumb on magnitudes of effect sizes. 2021. Available at: https://imaging.mrc-cbu.cam.ac.uk/statswiki/ FAQ/effectSize (Accessed: 29 October 2024).
- [36] Zieliński G, Gawda P. Analysis of the use of sample size and effect size calculations in a Temporomandibular Disorders randomised controlled trial—short narrative review. Journal of Personalized Medicine. 2024; 14: 655.
- [37] Schiffman E, Ohrbach R, Truelove E, Look J, Anderson G, Goulet JP, et al.; International RDC/TMD Consortium Network, International association for Dental Research; Orofacial Pain Special Interest Group, International Association for the Study of Pain. Diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders (DC/TMD) for clinical and research applications: recommendations of the international RDC/TMD Consortium Network\* and Orofacial Pain Special Interest Group<sup>†</sup>. Journal of Oral & Facial Pain and Headache. 2014; 28: 6–27.
- [38] Uzunçıbuk H, Marrapodi MM, Meto A, Ronsivalle V, Cicciù M, Minervini G. Prevalence of temporomandibular disorders in clear aligner patients using orthodontic intermaxillary elastics assessed with diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders (DC/TMD) axis II evaluation: a cross-sectional study. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation. 2024; 51: 500–509.
- [39] Yildiz S, Balel Y, Tumer MK. Evaluation of prevalence of temporomandibular disorders based on DC/TMD Axis I diagnosis in Turkish population and correlation with axis II. Journal of Stomatology, Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. 2023; 124: 101303.
- [40] Yap AU, Liu C, Lei J, Park JW, Kim SH, Lee B, et al. DC/TMD axis I subtyping: generational and gender variations among East Asian TMD

patients. BMC Oral Health. 2023; 23: 823.

- [41] Yap AU, Lei J, Fu KY, Kim SH, Lee B, Park JW. DC/TMD axis I diagnostic subtypes in TMD patients from Confucian heritage cultures: a stratified reporting framework. Clinical Oral Investigations. 2023; 27: 4459–4470.
- [42] Lobbezoo F, Ahlberg J, Glaros AG, Kato T, Koyano K, Lavigne GJ, et al. Bruxism defined and graded: an international consensus. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation. 2013; 40: 2–4.
- [43] Van Der Meulen MJ, Lobbezoo F, Aartman IHA, Naeije M. Validity of the oral behaviours checklist: correlations between OBC scores and intensity of facial pain. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation. 2014; 41: 115–121.
- [44] Nunes Rossetti LM, Pereira De Araujo CDR, Orlato Rossetti PH, Rodrigues Conti PC. Association between rhythmic masticatory muscle activity during sleep and masticatory myofascial pain: a polysomnographic study. Journal of Orofacial Pain. 2008; 22: 190–200.
- [45] Cestari K, Camparis CM. Psychological factors: its importance in temporomandibular disorders diagnosis. Journals Jornal Brasileiro de Oclusão, ATM & Dor Orofacial. 2002; 2: 54–60.
- [46] Son J, Choi O, Kim Y. Oral habits and temporomandibular joint disorders according to stress levels among military personnel in South Korea: a cross-sectional study. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation. 2024; 51: 1499– 1506.
- [47] Chow JC, Cioffi I. Effects of trait anxiety, somatosensory amplification, and facial pain on self-reported oral behaviors. Clinical Oral Investigations. 2019; 23: 1653–1661.
- [48] Camparis CM, Siqueira JTT. Sleep bruxism: clinical aspects and characteristics in patients with and without chronic orofacial pain. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontology. 2006; 101: 188–193.
- <sup>[49]</sup> Chen GY, Palla S, Erni S, Sieber M, Gallo LM. Nonfunctional tooth

contact in healthy controls and patients with myogenous facial pain. Journal of Orofacial Pain. 2007; 21: 185–193.

- [50] Yoshimi H, Sasaguri K, Tamaki K, Sato S. Identification of the occurrence and pattern of masseter muscle activities during sleep using EMG and accelerometer systems. Head and Face Medicine. 2009; 5: 7.
- [51] Valdés C, Astaburuaga F, Falace D, Ramirez V, Manns A. Effect of tongue position on masseter and temporalis electromyographic activity during swallowing and maximal voluntary clenching: a cross-sectional study. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation. 2014; 41: 881–889.
- [52] Bader G, Lavigne G. Sleep bruxism; an overview of an oromandibular sleep movement disorder. Sleep Medicine Reviews. 2000; 4: 27–43.
- [53] Leung AKC, Wong AHC, Lam J, Hon KL. Sleep bruxism in children: a narrative review. Current Pediatric Reviews. 2024; 21: 40–50.
- [54] Manfredini D, Lobbezoo F. Sleep bruxism and temporomandibular disorders: a scoping review of the literature. Journal of Dentistry. 2021; 111: 103711.
- [55] Palinkas M, De Luca Canto G, Rodrigues LAM, Bataglion C, Siéssere S, Semprini M, *et al.* Comparative capabilities of clinical assessment, diagnostic criteria, and polysomnography in detecting sleep bruxism. Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine. 2015; 11: 1319–1325.
- [56] Manfredini D, Ahlberg J, Aarab G, Bender S, Bracci A, Cistulli PA, et al. Standardised tool for the assessment of bruxism. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation. 2024; 51: 29–58.

**How to cite this article:** Burcu Anasız Kıranatlı, Özer İşisağ. Assessment of pain location according to different types of bruxism. Journal of Oral & Facial Pain and Headache. 2025; 39(1): 157-164. doi: 10.22514/jofph.2025.016.